

HOW ACCURATE ARE STELLAR MAGNETIC MEASUREMENTS? II. ANALYSIS OF DISK-INTEGRATED FLUX TUBE MODELS

S.H. SAAR

Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

M. BÜNTE AND S.K. SOLANKI

Institut für Astronomie, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

ABSTRACT We analyze disk-integrated line profiles generated in atmospheres embedded with fluxtubes to test the accuracy of simple radiative transfer (RT) analyses in determining stellar magnetic parameters.

INTRODUCTION, MODELS AND ANALYSIS

A height independent, spatially uniform, two-component model of the photosphere is typically assumed in stellar magnetic analyses. This ignores, however, the intermittent flux tube topology and the decrease of the field strength B with height. We test these assumptions by applying the simple analyses to realistic, disk-integrated theoretical line profiles, thereby extending our previous study of disk-center lines (Saar & Solanki 1992; 1993, hereafter SS93) and the work of Basri et al. (1990). As spots contribute little to the magnetic signal, even in the IR (SS93), we focus on bright plage/network regions for G2 ($T_{\text{eff}} = 5750$ K) and K4 ($T_{\text{eff}} = 4500$ K) dwarfs with magnetic region temperatures of $\Delta T = T_{\text{m}} - T_{\text{eff}} = 0$ (the “N” models) and +250 K (“H” models).

The magnetic structure we adopt for our model consists of an array of vertical thin flux tubes (Roberts & Webb 1978), which fan out with height under pressure equilibrium ($p_e(z) = p_i(z) + B(z)^2/8\pi$) until they merge to form a uniform vertical field. We chose $\beta_0 = 8\pi p_i(0)/B^2(0) = 0.3$, (thereby prescribing the relative shift Δz of the $\tau_c = 1$ -levels), $R_0 = 100$ km and three tube filling factors: $f = 0.0$ (non-magnetic), 0.1 and 0.3. We compute line profiles along a bundle of 19 rays piercing at an angle θ to the vertical in a stellar model (Kurucz 1992; priv. comm.) embedded with an array of thin flux tubes (see Bunte et al. 1993 for details). The results of the RT calculations are then averaged (1.5-D radiative transfer). This procedure is repeated at 5 values of $\mu = \cos \theta$ and the resulting Stokes $I(\mu)$ are disk-integrated with 60 azimuthal divisions to give the final theoretical line profile. Throughout, we have used a microturbulence of $\xi = 1$ km s⁻¹ and a radial-tangential macroturbulence of $v_{\text{mac}} = 2$ km s⁻¹. Three low/high Landé g line pairs of similar λ , strength, and excitation potentials (χ_e) were computed: 6240/6173Å, 6842/6843Å, and 15652/15648Å.

The analysis technique is an improved version of Saar’s (1988), similar to the analysis in SS93. We solve the magnetic RT equations in a Milne-Eddington

atmosphere, including Faraday rotation, and disk-integrate (as above) to produce the flux F . We assume the star is uniformly covered by a filling factor f of magnetic regions emitting a flux F_m . Thus, model lines are fit with $F = (1 - f)F_q + fF_m(B)$, where F_q is the quiet region flux and $F_q = F_m(B = 0)$. We used a conjugate gradient algorithm to search for the χ^2 space minimum.

We use the “non-magnetic comparison star” approach to fix several free parameters. In this method, fits to lines in a presumed low fB star of identical spectral type (here, the $f = 0$ models) are used to estimate some non-magnetic parameters (e.g., ξ , damping parameter α , and $\beta = dS_\nu/d\tau$). We next separately fit the low g_{eff} line (to estimate line strength η_0 , $v \sin i$, v_{mac}) and high g_{eff} line (to estimate η_0 , f , and B) in succession. A further iteration refines these, and a final fit is then made to both lines simultaneously.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A sample of the preliminary results (the iteration scheme can still be improved) is given in Table I (subscript F denotes the fit). In the table, we give $\mu = 1$

TABLE I Model parameters and sample results for G2 and K4 stars

model	λ	B	f	B	f	Φ	B_F	f_F	Φ_F	$\Delta\Phi_F$
		$[\tau_{\text{ext}} = 1]$		$[\tau_{\text{int}} = 0.01]$						
atms. ¹	[nm]	[G]	[%]	[G]	[%]	[G]	[G]	[%]	[G]	[%]
G2H0	620	1610	29	1182	38	462	1521	29.3	445	-4
G2H0	680	1599	29	1167	38	462	1791	19.5	349	-24
G2H0	1560	1610	29	1182	38	462	1711	20.3	349	-24
G2N0	620	1609	10	1193	13	160	1477	14.4	213	33
G2N5							1777	6.2	110	-31
G2N0	680	1600	10	1178	14	160	2097	5.6	118	-26
G2N5						
G2N0	1560	1609	10	1193	13	160	1691	8.6	146	-9
G2N5							1799	9.1	163	2
G2N0	620	1609	29	1193	38	462	1516	31.9	483	5
G2N5							1323	31.7	420	-9
G2N0	680	1600	29	1178	38	462	1712	23.9	409	-11
G2N5							1123	33.5	377	-18
G2N0	1560	1609	29	1193	38	462	1682	23.6	396	-14
G2N5							1765	23.9	422	-9
K4H0	620	2021	29	1339	41	581	1903	21.0	399	-31
K4H0	680	1999	29	1319	42	580	2072	35.1	727	25
K4H0	1560	2021	29	1339	41	581	2498	21.3	532	-8
K4N0	620	2022	29	1501	38	581	1827	23.7	433	-25
K4N0	680	2000	29	1481	38	580	2212	27.8	615	6
K4N0	1560	2022	29	1501	38	581	2530	21.3	538	-7

¹ e.g., G2H5 = G2 quiet atm., Hot ($\Delta T = 250\text{K}$) mag. atm., $v \sin i = 5 \text{ km/s}$.

values of B and f at continuum $\tau_{\text{ext}} = 1.0$ and $\tau_{\text{int}} = 0.01$ (roughly the region of line formation). Note though, that the level of line formation varies as a complex

function of not only η_0 , λ , χ_e and T_{eff} , but also μ , B , and f . Thus, definitive statements about errors can only be made concerning the magnetic flux $\Phi = fB$ in the models. Grossmann-Doerth & Solanki (1990) give an indication of the possible errors in f and B due to wrong estimates of formation heights.

We find that the simple analysis determines the flux ($\Phi = fB$) to reasonable accuracy ($\pm 30\%$) in G2 stars, and is even better ($\pm 10\text{--}20\%$) when the results of several line pairs with differing T sensitivities and formation levels are averaged. In K4 stars, results are more strongly dependent on the lines used, especially for models with “hot” magnetic regions (where errors can reach $\pm 50\%$ at $f = 0.1$), but again, line averaging can reduce errors to $\pm 30\%$. Stronger, more saturated lines generally yield poorer results. B tends to be overestimated and f and v_{mac} underestimated on average. The dominance of the continuum enhancement relative to line weakening on cooler stars, which was found in SS93 (cf. Solanki 1992) is confirmed. This is due to the “hot” wall effect, where the magnetic continuum is enhanced by seeing the warmer layers through the partly evacuated fluxtube walls. Trends in the fitting errors for disk-integrated lines are sometimes *opposite* of those found for disk-center profiles, partly due to (1) the increased importance of the absorption in the external, non-magnetic atmosphere, (2) the increased continuum emission due to the hot tube walls, and (3) increased contribution of low B , higher altitude fields near the limb. Thus the integration over the disk introduces new effects which affect the line profiles and results derived from their inversion in subtle but significant ways. Low f and high $v \sin i$ degrade Φ determinations, but the errors also depend on the lines involved and B itself. We conclude that, under ideal conditions (no noise, no blends, symmetric profiles, perfect knowledge of the non-magnetic line profile) and with proper care, simple RT analysis of stellar magnetic fields can produce reasonably accurate results in a wide variety of realistic physical conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by NASA grants NAGW-112 and NAG5-1975, and the SAO ROF fund. SHS thanks the Institut für Astronomie, ETH Zürich, and the Obs. & Astrophys. Lab., U. of Helsinki for their hospitality and support.

REFERENCES

- Basri, G.S., Marcy, G.W., Valenti, J., 1990, ApJ, **360**, 650
 Bünte, M., Solanki, S.K., Steiner, O.: 1993, A&A, **268**, 736
 Grossmann-Doerth, U., Solanki, S.K., 1990, A&A, **238**, 279
 Roberts, B., Webb A.R., 1978, Solar Phys., **56**, 5
 Saar, S.H., 1988, ApJ, **324**, 441.
 Saar, S.H., Solanki, S.K. 1993, A&A, submitted (SS93)
 Saar, S.H., Solanki, S.K. 1992, in *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun*, eds. M. Giampapa, J. Bookbinder, 259
 Solanki, S.K. 1992, *ibid.*, 211