

Measurement Methods of Chromospheric and Coronal Magnetic Fields

N.-E. Raouafi

Solar Magnetism



George Hale (1908) Observation of spectral line splitting in sunspots ZeemanStart of the solarEffectmagnetism era

Effects of Magnetic Field in the Solar Atmosphere (examples)





"If it were not for its magnetic field, the Sun would be as dull a star as most astronomers think it is" [R. Leighton 1965]





Structuring of the atmosphere

Eclipse 2001

Solar magnetic field: Gross view

* Closed component:

Most of the solar magnetic flux (> 90%); Closely correlated with sunspot activity; Strong fields (KG) occupy on average < 1% of the solar surface; Responsible for the total irradiance variations; ...

* Open component:

Small contribution to the solar magnetic flux; Poorly correlated with sunspot activity; Form the interplanetary field & solar wind; ...

The photosphere (chromosphere) is approximately a 2-D layer
 The magnetic field is 2-D vector field

* Any coronal remote measurement is affected by the line of sight The coronal field is 3-D and weak; More difficult to measure

[Stenflo 1982; Wang et al. 2005; ...]

Qualitative measurements of the magnetic field Field topology

Based on the emission properties of the plasma

- Contrast effect
- Temperature sensitivity of species emission
- **Plasma trapping in the field lines** $\beta \ll 1$ in the solar atmosphere

No information on the field strength nor "orientation"

Observing different lines formed at different layers: *Topological evolution of the magnetic field through the solar atmosphere*

Photosphere

Brightness contrast (Continuum 4320 Å) G-band: Better contrast





Sunspots Umbrae (KG vertical fields); Penumbrae (Flux tube threads at different inclinations); Pores (Emerging strong flux); Light bridges; Granulations; Dark network lanes; ...

Bright points (Concentration of tiny flux tubes; Resolution <100 Km) [Muller & Roudier 1984; Rutten et al. 2001; Steiner et al. 2001; Berdyugina et al. 2003; Zakharov et al. 2005]



Lower Chromosphere Ca II H & K Umbrae; penumbrae; light Bridges; Granulation in negative; Bright plages



Upper Chromosphere H-α Dark filaments; Homogeneous distribution of the field; **Canopy**



Cool material with coronal extension: Prominences; Spicules: Mass flow & shocks driving; Heating of the chromosphere; Relation to flux tubes [De Pontieu et al. 2004]



Corona UV & X-ray emissions Good tracers of the magnetic field







Corona Density distribution

Streamers (closed field: Slow Wind); Coronal holes (open field: Fast Wind); Polar plumes & interplumes; Superradial expansion; ...



SOHO/EIT & LASCO/C1

Quantitative measurements of the magnetic field

The second secon

Available measurements are recorded faraway from the Sun

 $0.3 \le r \le 1$ AU (*Pioneer*, *Mariner*: Behannon 1978; ...;

HELIOS: Musmann et al. 1977; Mariani et al. 1978 & 1979; ...)

Average of the radial and azimuth components with high accuracy



Zeeman Effect



- Splitting of atomic levels
- Change in spectral line profiles (measurable if splitting ≥ Doppler width)
 Polarization signature

Zeeman λ -splitting: $\Delta\lambda \propto g_{eff} B \lambda^2$ Chromosphere: Works better in the visible & infra-red Corona: Works better in the infra-red (weakness of the field)



3Do

B=0

 $\Delta J=0,\pm 1$

 $\sigma_{\rm b} \pi \sigma_{\rm r}$



600

pixel

600

[bixel]

200

Zeeman effect works for: Strong fields (100 < B < KG) with homogeneous polarities (sunspot, plages, pores, ...) *Small area of the solar surface*

No Zeeman effect in mixed polarities regions: cancellation effect

Need high spatial resolution

Corona: Not many applications due to field & emission weakness

Need active regions at the limb with strong coronal emission

[Solanki et al. 2003; Lagg et al. 2004] Measurement of the 3-D magnetic vector (He I 10830 Å): identification of emerging loops & current sheet (*signature of heating*) high in the chromosphere



The Second Solar Spectrum Volume I, II & III Visible – UV Zeeman effect [Achim Gandorfer]

Coronal Zeeman effect

[Harvey 1969] Fe XIV 5303 Å green line above active regions and in prominences $B = 13 \pm 20$ G

[Kuhn 1995] Fe XIII 10747 Å above active regions using Evans coronagraph: Upper limit on *B* of 40 G

[Lin et al. 2000] Fe XIII 10747 Å above active region $B(1.12 - 1.15 R_{\odot}) = 10 - 33 G$

These measurements suffer poor spatial & temporal resolution



Hanle Effect: Modification of the linear polarization of resonant scattered radiation by a local magnetic field

Classical interpretation:

Excited atom = damped ($\propto A_{ul}^{-1}$) oscillators precessing around **B** at



P & φ are function of the three components of B Ideally Hanle effect provides non-ambiguous vector field

The Hanle effect

- * Works for typical fields < 100 G (depends on the spectral line);
- * Needs high polarimetric sensitivity & moderate spatial resolution (weakness of the Hanle signal)
- * Needs resonance scattering:
 Solar limb (Stenflo & Keller 1997; etc.; Talk by J. Trujillo);
 Prominences (Sahal-Bréchot et al. (1977); etc.; Talk by A. Lopez Ariste)
- * Unlike Zeeman effect: Field strength from depolarization by turbulent fields [Stenflo 1982]
- * Hanle strong-field regime ($\omega_L >> A_{ul}$) Direction of the field [Charvin 1965]

[Querfeld 1974, 1977; Arnaud & Newkirk 1987; ...] Mainly radial field (Fe green & IR lines)





[Stenflo & Keller 1997] Polarization picks in the corps of Na I D-lines Enigma!!!

[Landi Degl'Innocenti 1998] Polarization in the ground level: "Evidence against turbulent and canopy-like magnetic fields in the solar chromosphere" or milligauss field

[Bianda, Stenflo & Solanki 1999] Hanle depolarization of lines with unpolarized lower level: evidence forturbulent and/or canopy-like fields

[Schrijver & Zwaan 2000] Na I D-lines are formed below the canopy & above the turbulent photospheric fields of few Gauss strength that drop off very fast

[Trujillo Bueno et al. 2003]

Multilevel radiative transfer modeling + Optical pumping: New insight into chromospheric fields

Hanle effect works better in the UV



 $\phi \neq 0$: Evidence of Hanle effect signature in the polar coronal holes Possibility of measuring the magnetic field in the corona using UV lines

Gyroresonance emission



The observed and an experimental and an experimental and an experimental and an experimental and a set of a s

$$B_{\max} = \frac{V_{\tau=1}}{2.810^6 \ s} \left(s = 2 \text{ or } 3 \right)$$

Observing at the different harmonic frequencies yield isogauss surfaces of the magnetic field at different coronal heights

Limitation: No information on the Gyroresonance emission height Compensation: Stereoscopic observations [Aschwanden & Bastian 1994]

Extrapolations [Lee et al. 1998]



Faraday rotation: Rotation of the plane of linear polarization of radio radiation propagating through a magnetized plasma with density n_e



Coronal Faraday effect is effective from ~ 4 to $\sim 15~R_{\odot}$ & during occultation of

- * Natural sources (*Galactic or extragalactic:* Sofue et al. 1976; Bird et al. 1980; Mancuso & Spangler 1999; ...): Advantage is the existence of these sources around the Sun & their spatial extend
- * Interplanetary space probes (*PIONEER:* Stelzried et al. 1970; *HELIOS:* Bird 1982, Pätzold et al.1987; ...): Observations are only possible close to the ecliptic & rare





Magnetic field in CMEs $B(7.5 R_{\odot}) = 10 - 20 \text{ mG}$ [Bird et al. 1985; *HELIOS*]

Limitations of the method: Occultation occurs infrequently; 2-D mapping is not possible; Model dependence of Faraday rotation (Needs density model n_e to diagnose **B** and visa versa)

Extrapolation of the magnetic field



Potential fields (*J*=0: simple topologies); Linear & Non-linear force-free field (*J*//*B*: complex topologies)

Powerful tools for evaluating

- The energitics and activity of coronal magnetic structures (active regions, filaments, loops, etc.)
 [Heyvaerts & Hagyard 1991; Amari et al. 1999; ...]
- > Coronal flows [Marsch et al. 2004]
- Large scale coronal field [Wiegelmann et al.]

Need accurate photospheric fields: errors may affect the reconstruction of the coronal field [klimchuk & canfield 1994]

▶ ...

Force-freeness of photospheric fields? Values of α may not be appropriate in the corona since the photosphere is not force-free [Metcalf et al. 1995]

Need chromospheric & coronal measurements to constraint extrapolation models
 + Proxies (loops, ...) for comparison

Other methods

Bremsstrahlung emission

LOS averaged field strengths from circular polarization signal from actives regions (accuracy as low as 1-6 Gauss with RATAN-600 & VLA radio telescopes)

[Brosius et al. 1997; Bogod & Gelfreikh 1980; Gelfreikh 1994; ...] Talk By S. White

Coronal loop oscillations

Flare-generated oscillations of coronal loops: Absolute value of the magnetic field strength [Nakariakov & Ofman 2001]

Simulations



G-Band

20 km resolution [Schüssler et al. 2003]

> SST ~100 km resolution [Scharmer et al. 2002]



Magnetometry (*future projects*)

Coronal field:

Advanced Technology Solar Telescope (4 m; 2012; Polarization in the infra-red);

Solar Probe (2013) Two solar polar passes at ~4 R_{\odot} : Golden opportunity for in-situ measurements of the coronal field close to the Sun

Chromospheric field:

GREGOR Tenerife **1.5 m**; wind flushing; $\lambda = 0.39 \text{ nm} - 2.5 \mu\text{m}$; FOV 300"; Spatial resolution **70 km**; Adapt **b** ontics **1 (b) See 10 (c) (**

Conclusions

* ...

What is needed is complete picture for the solar atmosphere

- Combine the different techniques: Proxies; Zeeman & Hanle effects; radio observations; Simulations, ...
- * Simultaneous multi-line observations: field at different layers
- Combine ground and space observations
- Improve measurements of the other plasma parameters (densities, temperatures, flows, ...)

Future of the solar magnetic field

- Promising future for strong fields
- * More work has to be done for weak fields
- Much more work is to be done for the coronal field