

Andreas Lagg


Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung, Katlenburg-Lindau

Outline:

- The average chromosphere
- Magnetic structures
 - canopy
 - spicules
- Results:
 - Zeeman/Hanle/Paschen-Back diagnostics
 - photospheric extrapolations
 - chromospheric current sheet
 - “uncombed” chromosphere?

The Chromosphere

- Photosphere: dominated by gas pressure and fluid motions
- Corona: dominated by magnetic field
- Chromosphere:
 - Structure determined by magnetic field
 - dynamics by oscillations and flows



(Peter 2002)

Chromosphere: plane-parallel layers between the temperature minimum and the onset of the coronal temperature rise (eg. Vernazza 1981, Fontenla 1993).


Structures in the Chromosphere

Quiet:

- grains (network / inter-network)
- spicules, mottles & fibrils
- canopy
- CO clouds


active regions:

- sunspots
- plages
- flare ribbons
- surges
- loops
- current sheets
- reversed Evershed flow



(Fontenla et al. 1993)

Temperature Stratification?



$T \sim 10^4$ K, formation height ~ 1800 km ??

VTT – TIP2, May 2005





FIGURE 5. The proposed structure of the network model based upon energy balance (model C), showing the convection cell, magnetic field lines and contours of constant temperature. The primary transition region is indicated by the converging contours of temperature. The secondary transition region is shown by the dashed line.

Giovanelli (1980), Solanki & Steiner (1990): lower canopy height (600-1200 km)


Theoretical Aspects of Canopy Fields

- Solanki & Steiner (1990): cool atmosphere required outside flux tubes (expected from CO-clouds, Ayres 2002)
- magnetic flux tubes merge below 700-1200 km
- upper boundary even if magnetic filling factor is very small
- small FF: nearly horizontal canopy



Magnetic Canopy


- canopy arches over interiors of supergranular cells
- chromosphere completely covered by magnetic vault, independent of photospheric polarities



(Schrijver & Zwaan, 2000)

Magnetic Canopy?


- co-spatial images in C I continuum (6000 K) and O VI (280000 K)
- same size of network patches in chromosphere and corona
 - compatible with idea of canopy?
- possible explanation: emission caused by magneto-acoustic waves which can penetrate the chromosphere only if the magnetic field is vertical
 - emission only directly above bright network patches



(SUMER, from Peter, 2002)

Theoretical Aspects of Canopy Fields

- relatively strong internetwork fields (few Mx/cm^2) destroy classical canopy (wineglass shape) → 50% of coronal field rooted in internetwork
- canopy field lines return to photosphere near parent flux tube
- Sanchez-Almeida et al. (2004): bright points in internetwork tracing magnetic field concentrations



Schrijver & Title (2003)





FIG. 4.—Similar to Fig. 1 but showing the field lines starting from a grid 7 Mm above the source plane. Field lines terminating on the central network source are black and on the internetwork sources gray. The dashed curve encloses the flux from the network source that reaches up to greater than 7 Mm; without internetwork field that perimeter would equal the field of view, thus forming the classical network canopy that covers the entire photosphere.


Measurements of Canopy Fields

- Bianda et al. (1998, 1999): strong evidence for horizontal, canopy like fields (10 G range, Hanle-depolarization in Sr II)
- Stenflo et al. (2002): clear canopy signal (25-35 G) over a semi-quiet region with moderate facular activity (Zeeman + Hanle diagnostics in Na D1)




Measurements of Canopy Fields

- Bianda et al. (1998, 1999): strong evidence for horizontal, canopy like fields (10 G range, Hanle-depolarization in Sr II)
- Stenflo et al. (2002): clear canopy signal (25-35 G) over a semi-quiet region with moderate facular activity (Zeeman + Hanle diagnostics in Na D1)



Measurements of Canopy Fields

- Leka & Metcalf 2003: direct detection of superpenumbral canopy (Fe 630 + Na I D)
- Acoustic mapping of the magnetic canopy, $\beta=1$ layer acts as magnetic canopy for waves (Finsterle, 2004)
- Zhang (CCMag, Tuesday): little evidence for horizontal fields using $H\beta$ magn. field measurements + TRACE 171A data



Measurements of Canopy Fields

- Leka & Metcalf 2003: direct detection of superpenumbral canopy (Fe 630 + Na I D)
- Acoustic mapping of the magnetic canopy, $\beta=1$ layer acts as magnetic canopy for waves (Finsterle, 2004)
- Zhang (CCMag, Tuesday): little evidence for horizontal fields using $H\beta$ magn. field measurements + TRACE 171A data





FIG. 2.—Vertical cut through the $\beta = 5$ surface above a sunspot with the horizontal lines indicating the formation heights for the Ni (*solid line*), K (*dotted line*), and Na (*dashed line*) lines. The position of the cut is marked by the red line in Fig. 3d. Our reflection model is visualized by a schematic wave train (wavelength not to scale). The upward traveling wave is reflected at the $\beta = 5$ surface, and only its evanescent tail reaches the Na layer. For simplicity the reflected wave is not shown. Fig. 8 of Rosenthal et al. (2002) suggests that the reflected wave travels downward at an angle away from the sunspot.

- short lived features (5-10 min)
- dynamic upward jets (20 km/s)
- mass flux: 100x of solar wind flux
- most of the material flows back to photosphere
- temperatures 5000 – 15000 K
- diameter < 500 km
- p, T scale heights larger than for gas at hydrostatic equilibrium

Models: energy deposition due to

- velocity / pressure pulse near base of flux tube
- pressure pulse at localized region in flux tube
- Alfvén waves at the base of flux tubes

(review by Sterling, 2000)



Spicules

periodic spicules (5-min oscillations)


- influence of p-modes first suggested by Suematsu (1990)

- but: acoustic waves are evanescent in chromosphere for vertical fields

- but: p-modes can leak significantly into the chromosphere if field is highly inclined


reduced gravity ($\sim \cos \Theta$) increases acoustic cut-off

De Pontieu et al., 2004
de Wijn, CCMag Thursday



- good match between observed photospheric velocity oscillation & spicule height & occurrence
- observers: measure the magnetic field inclination!

De Pontieu et al., 2004



Magnetic field in spicules

- Hanle / Zeeman diagnostics of spicule in He 1083 nm multiplet
 - 1st direct empirical demonstration of magnetized, spicular material
 - magnetic field parameters (2000 km):
 - $B = 10$ G
 - $\Theta = 35^\circ$ (to local vertical)
 - $v_{\text{Thermal}} = 22$ km/s
- consistent with inclination needed for p-mode penetration




FIG. 2.—*Open circles*: Observed Stokes profiles at the same spatial point of Fig. 1. The reference direction for Stokes Q is the parallel to the solar limb. The origin of the wavelength scale corresponds to the blue component of the He I $\lambda 10830$ multiplet. *Dotted line*: Optically thick theoretical modeling ($\tau_{\text{red}} = 3.7$) for a magnetic field strength $B = 10$ G, inclination $\theta_B = 37^\circ$, azimuth $\chi_B = 173^\circ$, and a thermal velocity of 15 km s^{-1} . *Solid line*: Optically thick theoretical modeling ($\tau_{\text{red}} = 3$) with enhanced damping parameter, for a magnetic field strength $B = 10$ G, inclination $\theta_B = 37^\circ$, azimuth $\chi_B = 173^\circ$, and a thermal velocity of 13.5 km s^{-1} . In both modeling cases, the alternative determination $B = 10$ G, $\theta'_B = 180^\circ - \theta_B$, and $\chi'_B = -\chi_B$ gives the same theoretical Stokes profiles.

see also Lopez Ariste (2005 + CCMag, Wed)

Trujillo Bueno et al., 2005

Hanle Effect (1)


(Trujillo-Bueno, 2002,
Landi Degl'Innocenti, 1982)

non magnetic case:

anisotropic illumination of atoms (3 independent, damped oscillators in x, y, z) with unpolarized light

- no polarization in forward scattering
- complete linear polarization in 90° scattering

→ **scattering polarization**




Hanle effect:
modification of (atomic) polarization caused by the action of a magnetic field

magnetic case:

now the 3 oscillators are not independent:

- 1 osc. along B (ω_0)
- 2 osc. around B ($\omega_0 - \omega_L$; $\omega_0 + \omega_L$)
- damped oscillation precesses around B
 → rosette like pattern
 → damping time $t_{life} = 1/\gamma$




- $\omega_B \gg 1/t_{life}$
 - forward scattering: max. polarization along $\pm y$
 - 90° scattering: no polarization



- $\omega_B \approx 1/t_{life}$
 - forward scattering: weaker, but still $\pm y$
 - 90° scattering: lin.pol. in Q, U, smaller than in non-magnetic case

He 1083: atomic polarization



line shift [Å]




Tr 1



Tr 2




Tr 3



line strength

magnetic field strength [G]

Paschen-Back: Influence profiles / results




See C. Sasso, CCMag P.41


Example: Hanle / Zeeman diagnostics on emerging flux region

(Solanki et al., 2003)

Photosphere




Upper Chromosphere



to sun center

two polarities not connected in chromosphere
or
loops of extremely small horizontal extent

3D Chromosphere




Comparison with Extrapolations

(T. Wiegelmann et al., 2003)

used map:
photospheric field
obtained from
inversion of Si-line

force free field:

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \alpha B$$



Wiegelmann (next talk + P.49)

2-component Downflows

very common feature: downflows
of up to 60 km/s
(see poster Aznar Cuadrado, P.02)


He 1083 inversion + genetic
algorithm (PIKAIA):
allows the retrieval of
magnetic field vector for slow
and fast component

Slow Component:

VLOS	B	Incl.	Azim.
-620 m/s	520 G	33°	-14°




Fast Component:

VLOS	B	Incl.	Azim.
24900 m/s	730 G	67°	10°



Downflows: Temporal Evolution

~70 minutes



Fast Component

→ uncombed chromosphere!!

Current Sheet

- opposite polarity fields separated by < 4 pixels
- narrow valley (< 2 Mm) where $B < 50$ G



→ electric current || surface

Ampère's Law:

$$j_{\min} = 90 \text{ mA/m}^2$$

current sheet can continuously convert magnetic flux into heat and plasma kinetic energy

several C-Class events reported for NOAA 9451 on May 13 2001




Solanki et al., Nature 2003

Currents heating the Chromosphere?

Analysis of 3D vector currents & temperatures (Socas-Navarro, 2005)

$$\vec{j} = \nabla \times \vec{B}$$

- SPINOR (photosphere to 1500 km)
- non-LTE analysis
 - ➔ current density maps
 - ➔ direction of current
 - ➔ temperature maps




850 nm region
non-LTE Ca II triplet, photospheric Fe I

Currents heating the Chromosphere?

Analysis of 3D vector currents & temperatures (Socas-Navarro, 2005)

- SPINOR (photosphere to 1500 km)
- non-LTE analysis
 - current density maps
 - direction of current
 - temperature maps






850 nm region
non-LTE Ca II triplet, photospheric Fe I

Currents heating the Chromosphere?

Analysis of 3D vector currents & temperatures (Socas-Navarro, 2005)

- SPINOR (photosphere to 1500 km)
- non-LTE analysis
 - ➔ current density maps
 - ➔ direction of current
 - ➔ temperature maps



- 
- Chromosphere contains huge variety of magnetic structures
 - key for better understanding: vector magnetic field
 - promising methods:
 - extrapolations
 - Hanle / Zeeman polarimetry (multi-wavelength, high S/N): sensitive to very low magnetic fields, full magnetic vector
 - mm / sub-mm (ALMA): allows the analysis of hot & cool gas simultaneously
 - atmospheric seismology

Thank you!