Stokes Polarimetry in He I 10830: Magnetic Field Topology of an Emerging Flux Region



Andreas Lagg

Max-Planck-Institute for Solar System Research, Germany

Outline:

Chromospheric magnetic field measurements

- relevance & previous methods
- The He 10830 diagnostics
 - Zeeman, scatter polarization, Hanle
 - line formation
 - implementation
- Application: emerging flux region
 - parameter maps, loop tracing, 3D-structure of chromosphere
 - fast downflow region
- Summary



The missing link



'easy': photospheric field (Zeeman diagnostics)

tough: coronal magnetic field structure

- TRACE: Loops
- radio methods
- very sensitive Zeeman diagnostics (Fe XIII 10747, Lin et al., 2004)
- coronal seismology (dispersion relation of magnetoacoustic kink mode, Nakariakov & Ofman, 2001)





Relevance of chromospheric magnetic field measurements

- transition: plasma dominated region (β>1) to magnetic field dominated region
- Magnetic connection between photosphere and corona?
- Is there the concept of "magnetic canopy" correct?
 - weak, volume-filling field (Faurobert, 2001) or compact regions with kG field (e.g. Sanchez-Almeida, 2003)?
 - is the weak intranetwork field entirely cut off from the outer atmosphere?
- Chromospheric / Coronal Heating (DC/ wave heating)



H. Peter (2002)



Relevance: Coronal Heating

- heating mechanism located below 10 Mm above photosphere (Aschwanden et al., 2001)
- footpoint heating models (incomplete):
 - Sakai et al. (2001): magnetic reconnection resulting from surface Alfvén waves and colliding plasma flows in chromospheric current sheets
 - colliding flux tubes in the chromosphere (Ryutova, 2001)
 - interaction of newly emerging loops with pre-existing loops (Mok, 2001)



FIG. 1.—(a) Schematic picture showing large flux tubes in the corona, as well as small flux tubes in the chromosphere. (b) Schematic picture showing the region of magnetic shear fields where surface Alfvén waves and upward plasma flows propagate from the footpoints. (c) Coordinates used in the simulation. (d) Current-sheet configuration in the two-dimensional simulation.

Sakai et al., 2001

FIG. 3c

FIG. 3.—(a)–(d) Selected magnetic field lines for case 1 at t = 25, 50, 75, and 177, respectively. The emerging second loop is at the center, with its positive pole at left. The toroidal electric current flows from left to right, so that $B_1 \cdot B_2 < 0$ and $J_1 \cdot J_2 > 0$. The loops have opposite signs of magnetic helicity.

Mok, 2001

"The ultimate test of any coronal heating model requires a detailed one-to-one correspondence of changes in magnetic features with the locations of coronal heating input." (Trimble & Aschwanden, 2002)



Previous methods (1)

Extrapolations

use reliable photospheric data

e.g. Seehafer & Staude (1979): Force free magnetic field extrapolations:

 $\nabla \times \vec{B} = \alpha B$

comparison with H α features: force-free (α = const) superior to current-free (α = 0)



(Yan & Sakurai (1997): comparison with YOHKOH, Régnier et. al (2002): non constant α)



Previous methods (2)

Radio Emissions

e.g. S. M. White (2002)

- depend directly on magnetic field at origin
- uses gyroresonant emissions, bremsstrahlung
- no information on magnetic field direction
- only for moderate strong fields (> few hundred Gauss)
- Iow temporal and spatial details



Fig. 2. Contrast in the appearance of a solar active region (1992 April 11). A soft X-ray image (Yohkoh/SXT) is shown in the top left panel, a longitudinal magnetogram in the top right panel, and two VLA radio images are shown in the lower panels: a 1.6 GHz image at left, and a 4.5 GHz image at right. Note the striking difference in the radio images: at the lower frequency the radio image is dominated by optically-thick bremsstrahlung from the loops visible in the soft X-ray image. At the higher frequency this emission is optically thin, and the image is dominated by gyroresonance emission from the strong magnetic fields in the corona above the active region.



The Hel 10830 diagnostics (1)

Zeeman Effect

- reliable magnetic field information for B >100 G
- simultaneous observation of photosphere (Si) and chromosphere (He)
- three (blended) Hel lines ("blue" line + 2 "red" lines)

Atomic Parameters: [Rüedi, 1996]

Line	WL [Å]	Transition	G eff	ROS
Si I	10827.14	4s ³ P ₂ - 4p ³ P ₂	1.50	
He l	10828.99	2s ³ S ₁ - 2p ³ P ₀	2.00	0.111
He l	10830.38	2s ³ S ₁ - 2p ³ P ₁	1.75	0.333
He I	10830.38	2s ³ S ₁ - 2p ³ P ₂	0.875	0.556





The Hel 10830 diagnostics (2)

Hanle Effect

(Trujillo-Bueno, 2002, Landi Degl'Innocenti, 1982)

non magnetic case:

anisotropic illumination of atoms (3 independent, damped oscillators in x,y,z) with unpolarized light

- no polarization in forward scattering
- complete linear polarization in 90° scattering
 - \rightarrow scattering polarization

Hanle effect: modification of (atomic) polarization caused by the action of a magnetic field





The Hel 10830 diagnostics (2)

Hanle Effect

(Trujillo-Bueno, 2002, Landi Degl'Innocenti, 1982)

magnetic case:

now the 3 oscillators are not independent:

- 1 osc. along B (ω_0)
- 2 osc. around B (ω_0 - ω_L ; ω_0 + ω_L)
- damped oscillation precesses around B
 - \rightarrow rosette like pattern
 - \rightarrow damping time t_{life} = 1/y
- $\blacksquare \omega_{\rm B} >> 1/t_{\rm life}$
 - forward scattering: max. polarization along ±y
 - 90° scattering: no polarization



- \bullet $\omega_{\rm B} \approx 1/t_{\rm life}$
 - forward scattering: weaker, but still ±y
 - 90° scattering: lin.pol. in Q, U, smaller than in non-magnetic case

The Hel 10830 diagnostics (2)

 $M_{\rm u} = 0$

 $M_1 = 0$

Hanle Effect, the He 10830 case



Red Lines $(J_1=1, J_1=2 \text{ and } J_1=1, J_1=1)$: degenerate upper & lower level

- both levels carry atomic polarization
 - \rightarrow emitted beam to (1) polarized
 - \rightarrow transmitted beam (2) has excess of linear polarization \perp to B









A. Lagg – Stanford, CA, Nov. 2004

Si / He Line Formation

- Si 1082.7 nm (photosphere)
- formed in photosphere (LTE)
- moderate magnetic field and temperature sensitivity
- Maxwellian local velocity distribution
- SPINOR (Frutiger 2000)

He 1083 nm Triplet (upper chromosphere)

- good magnetic field sensitivity
- non-LTE formation (h>1500 km)
- complex formation (Avrett 1994)
- optically thin (Rüedi 1995)
 - no complicated RT-calc
 - no details of line formation





Analysis Technique for Hel 10830

- forward calculation (synthesis) involving
 - radiative transfer
 - Zeeman effect
 - Hanle effect
 - multiple atmospheric components
- Inversion technique
 - weighting scheme
 - robustness



Synthesis of Hel Spectra (1)

non-LTE line formation \rightarrow SPINOR not applicable

first step: simple Gaussian fit to individual Zeeman components

improvement: include basics of radiative transfer

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{I}}{\mathrm{d}z} = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{I}$

K= propagation matrix (contains absorption and dispersion profiles, eg. η_v)

$$\eta_{\rm V} = (\frac{\eta_{\rm r} - \eta_{\rm b}}{2}) \cos \gamma, \ \eta_{\rm b,r} = \eta_0 H(a, \lambda - \Delta \lambda_{\rm vLOS} \pm \Delta \lambda_{\rm B})$$

Free parameters: amplitude η_0 , damping constant a, LOS-velocity (v_{LOS}), Doppler broadening ($\Delta\lambda_D$), magnetic field strength & direction (B, ϕ , γ)





Synthesis of Hel Spectra (2)

radiative transfer: Milne-Eddington approximation

- height independent prop. matrix K
- all quantities of atmosphere are constant with optical depth, except for source function:

$$\mathbf{S}(\tau) = \mathbf{S}_0 + \mathbf{S}_1 \tau$$

Additional free parameters: S

 \rightarrow 8 free parameters defining one atmospheric component

He-Triplet: all three lines formed under identical conditions

- \rightarrow same atmospheric parameters
- \rightarrow linear coupling using rel. oscillator strength





Implementation of Hanle (1)

regions with strong linear polarization

 \rightarrow no Zeeman fit possible

'filament case' for scatter-polarization:

- B-field solar surface
- LOS __ solar surface

 \Rightarrow tan (2x) = U/Q







Implementation of

fit Voigt to Sokes I

1.0

0.6

0.4

0.004

0.002

0.000

-0.002

-0.004

10826

10828

_0.8⊦ ≤

assume same shape for scatt pol. signal (v_{LOS}, line width)





U/Ic

A. Lagg – Stanford, CA, Nov. 2004

Multi-Component Analysis

some pixels: single atmosphere not able to reproduce observed profile

 \rightarrow introduce 2nd component

parameter coupling helps to reduce number of free parameters (e.g. couple magnetic field direction)





Weighting Scheme

telluric blend: Stokes I up to 10831.5 Å

photospheric Ca-line blends blue Hel line \rightarrow reduced weight

Stokes Q, U, V: similar to I, no blend





Inversion Technique

- robustness:
 - steepest gradient (UOBYQA)
 - genetic algorithm (PIKAIA)

- multi-iteration technique:
 - first fit reliable parameters (eg. v_{LOS})
 - reduced number of free parameters for 2nd run





Convergence and Noise Analysis









Observation





Photosphere, Si 10827

Magnetic Field Strength



500-1000 G	emerging flux region	< 300 G
1000-2000 G	surrounding	800-1500 G



Magnetic Field Direction





LOS Velocity



mainly upflow < 1 km/s up- and downflow < 2 km/s

surrounding

emerging flux region

downflow 10-40 km/s

upflow 0-4 km/s









y [Mm]

The 3-Dimensional Chromosphere





Comparison with Extrapolations

(T. Wiegelmann, 2003)

used map: photospheric field obtained from inversion of Si-line

force free field: $\nabla \times \vec{B} = \alpha B$





Current Sheet

 opposite polarity fields separated by < 4 pixels
narrow valley (< 2Mm) where B < 50 G



Surface: Mag. Field (He) Color: Current Density (He) Density (He) [mA/m^{*}] 100 80 60 40 Current 20 8 æ

\rightarrow electric current || surface

Ampère's Law:

 $j_{min} = 90 \text{ mA/m}^2$

current sheet can continuously convert magnetic flux into heat and plasma kinetic energy several C-Class events reported for NOAA 9451 on May 13 2001

Solanki, Nature 2003



Downflows

very common feature: downflows of up to 60 km/s.

PIKAIA: allows the retrieval of magnetic field vector for slow and fast component

Slow Component:

VLOS

24900 m/s

VLOS

-620 m/s





Downflows – temporal evolution

~70 minutes



y [pix]

y [pix]



Uncombed chromosphere?





Properties of Emerging Flux Region

- magnetic field strength:
 - photosphere 500-1000 G
 - chromosphere <300 G</p>
 - Iarge magnetic field gradient (0.6 G/km)
- magnetic field orientation
 - Iarge horizontal tubes in chromosphere
 - opposite polarity fields within pixel resolution (current sheet / tiny loops)
 - horizontal / patchy in photosphere
- velocities:
 - slow upflow in photosphere and chromosphere
 - moderate / fast downflows at footpoints of arches in chromosphere
 - slow downflow at photospheric levels

 \rightarrow Observations consistent with picture of emerging bipolar flux





- The near-infrared He I triplet is a unique diagnostic tool to investigate the upper chromosphere of the Sun - a region hardly accessible with other techniques. It allows the determination of the magnetic field vector and the line-of-sight velocity.
- In combination with the Si I line an emerging flux region could be observed at photospheric and chromospheric levels simultaneously.
- The height information resulting from the inversion smoothly connects the photospheric and the chromospheric level and is consistent with force-free field extrapolations
- The observations confirm the scenario of buoyantly rising flux transporting mass from the photosphere into the chromosphere and its drainage along arched magnetic loops.
- A tangential discontinuity in the magnetic field direction was interpreted as the signature of an electric current sheet. Such sheets can act as a source of coronal heating.



Chromospheric and Coronal Magnetic Fields

30 August – 2 September, 2005 Katlenburg - Lindau, Germany

Formation and stability of magnetic structures Flux emergence and eruption Chromospheric and coronal seismology Coupling to the photosphere Measurement techniques

SOC: Sami Solanki (chair), Bernhard Fleck, Sarah Gibson, Franz Kneer, Tetsuya Magara, Valery Nakariakov, Eric Priest, Takashi Sakurai, Javier Trujillo Bueno, Stephen White

http://meetings.mps.mpg.de

Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung Katlenburg-Lindau, Germany





Stanford, CA, Nov. 2004

