SOLAR FLARES MODELLING AND IRIS OBSERVATIONS FROM AN MHD STANDARD MODEL TO SMALL-SCALE PHYSICS

MIHO JANVIER Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale

Special thanks: UCL/MSSL group for the discussions

IRIS-9, Göttingen, 25-29 June 2018

Invited Talk

4. Eruptions in the solar atmosphere

Completing solar flare models with spectroscopic (IRIS) observations

<u>Miho Janvier</u>¹

¹ Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, Université Paris-Sud, France (miho.janvier@ias.u-psud.fr)

Solar flares are amongst the most energetic events in our solar system. Generally seen as intense brightenings in the UV and X-ray domains, they also inject solar energetic particles and coronal mass ejections (CMEs) into the interplanetary medium. Their effects on the space environment of planets are non-negligible, with the known consequences on human activities. A better understanding of the processes taking place during flares is needed in order to develop future prediction capacities.

In the last decades, the wealth of data from space and ground missions as well as developments of numerical models have provided a deeper knowledge of the behaviour of magnetic fields during solar flares. From flux ropes to flare loops, from electric currents to flare ribbons, we will see how both observations and modelling help bring together a generic 3D picture of the mechanisms taking place prior and during solar flares. The evolution of different magnetic structures, well reproduced with a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) model, is dictated by magnetic reconnection, which converts magnetic energy stored in the Suns corona. In particular, consequences of magnetic reconnection can be seen in the different layers of the solar atmosphere, which allows us to go back to its intrinsic properties in 3D.

We will then show that while 3D MHD models are mostly focussed on the behaviour of the magnetic field due to the low-beta condition of the corona, spectroscopic observations can come in handy when completing the cartoon with the plasma behaviour. In particular, as IRIS reveals the dynamics of the chromosphere and the transition region, it brings new understandings on the exchange of energy via non-thermal particles and plasma flows prior and during solar flares. We will discuss how the observations of flaring regions (heating, kernel brightening, ribbons, plasma velocities) help us getting a better understanding of flares throughout the different layers of the Sun's atmosphere.

SOLAR FLARES MODELLING AND IRIS OBSERVATIONS FROM AN MHD STANDARD MODEL TO SMALL-SCALE PHYSICS

MIHO JANVIER Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale

Special thanks: UCL/MSSL group for the discussions

A FOCUS ON ERUPTIVE FLARES

Observations From observations to models

FLUX ROPE INSTABILITY

Numerical models to address the trigger problem Spectroscopic observations

FROM MODELS TO OBSERVATIONS

Current ribbons Slipping reconnection

A BIGGER PICTURE?

What are the gaps to fill? Future observations

A FOCUS ON ERUPTIVE FLARES: RECURRENT OBSERVATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS



- Flux rope: twisted magnetic structure that can support a prominence (cold plasma)
- •<u>Flare loops</u>: regions of high density and temperature (X/EUV rays)
 → they can be seen (≠ pre-eruptive field)

•<u>Ribbons</u>: collisional region between descending particles and higher density chromosphere

MAGNETIC RECONNECTION

We needed a model:

Early developments by: Parker (1957, 1963), Sweet (1958), Syrovatskii (1981)

60s: Sweet and Parker proposed:

magnetic reconnection

<u>Creation of high electric current density sheet</u> → dissipation of magnetic field (Ohm's law)





Standard 2D model of flare loop formation during flares CSHKP Model

Carmichael (1964) Sturrock (1966) Hirayama (1974) Kopp & Pneumann (1976)

Forbes & Malherbe (1986)

Magnetic reconnection leads to:

- \Rightarrow Flux rope + post-flare loops
- ⇒ Two flare ribbons

MAGNETIC RECONNECTION: OBSERVATIONAL EVIDENCES FROM SPECTROSCOPY

Hard X-ray source above the loop top:

particle acceleration at reconnection site





Co-temporal with upflows/downflows seen in spectroscopy

Chromospheric and loop response

Revealed high-temperature, high-velocity blueshift and cooler redshift emission compatible with models of chromospheric evaporation (SOHO/CDS, SSM)

(e.g. Antonucci et al. 1982; Teriaca et al. 2006; Young et al. 2013)

MAGNETIC RECONNECTION: OBSERVATIONAL EVIDENCES FROM SPECTROSCOPY

The picture before IRIS:



Hara et al. (2001)

MAGNETIC RECONNECTION: OBSERVATIONAL EVIDENCES FROM SPECTROSCOPY



The picture with IRIS:

Confirmation of blueshifts + large non-thermal broadening (Fe XXI line)

• Impulsive phase radiation concentrated at chromospheric endpoints of the magnetic field.

(Fe XXI is observed to be entirely blueshifted \rightarrow sites of evaporation are now likely to be resolved by IRIS)

(e.g., Graham & Cauzzi 2015; Polito et al. 2015, 2016; Tian et al. 2015, 2016; Young et al. 2015, Y. Li et al. (2015), Battaglia et al. (2015), D. Li et al. (2016), Brannon (2016), Mikula et al. (2017), Brosius & Inglis (2017), Tian & Chen (2018)

Fe XXI blueshifts co-temporal with HXR

C. Liu et al. (2015), Kleint et al. (2015), Tian et al. (2014), Tian et al. (2015), Warren et al. (2016)

Fe XXI blueshifts co-temporal with microwave

D. Li et al. (2018), Q. Zhang et al. (2016)

Confirms the energetic particles + « chromospheric evaporation » scenario (expansion and filling of coronal loops)

A FOCUS ON ERUPTIVE FLARES

Observations From observations to models

FLUX ROPE INSTABILITY

Numerical models to address the trigger problem Spectroscopic observations

LONG TERM EVOLUTION OF ACTIVE REGIONS



Shearing coronal loops
Converging motions at PIL
Flux dispersal and B decrease
Flux cancellation at PIL

Démoulin et al. (2002) van Driel Gesztelyi et al. (2003) Martin et al. (1985) Schmieder et al. (2008) Park et al. (2010) Green et al. (2011) ...

CORONAL RESPONSE TO FLUX DISPERSION

- Magnetic flux density drops
- ✤ B cancels at PIL

- \rightarrow coronal tension decreases
- \rightarrow magnetic flux decrease in photosphere
- ightarrow flux rope formation in corona



photosphere

Amari et al. (2003,2011) MacKay & van Ballegooijen (2006) Yeates & MacKay (2009)

 \mapsto Favorable conditions for triggering eruptions

CONSTRUCTING A FLUX ROPE



Aulanier, Török, Démoulin & DeLuca (2010)

Photospheric magnetic diffusion of B_{x,y,z}





Sturrock (1989), Moore & Roumeliotis (1992),

2001),

Moore,(

Tether-cutting at the beginning of a flux rope formation



The picture with IRIS:

Blueshifts + non-thermal broadening (Fe XXI line) before flares have been reported

• When a filament is present, blueshifts ~15mn to ~40mn before flare (Kleint et al. 2015, Woods et al. 2017, comparison with NLFFF modelling which reveals FR)

• Potential reconnection sites below prominences like in tether-cutting (Reeves et al. 2015, Kumar et al. 2015, Chen et al. 2016)

Other mechanisms:

- Kink mode? G. Zhou et al. (2016)
- Emergence, side reconnection as trigger? Bamba et al. (2017)

(b)





Aulanier, Török, Démoulin & DeLuca (2010)

Démoulin & Aulanier (2010), «Double Arc» instability: Ishiguro & Kusano (2017)

A FOCUS ON ERUPTIVE FLARES

Observations From observations to models

FLUX ROPE INSTABILITY

Numerical models to address the trigger problem Spectroscopic observations

FROM MODELS TO OBSERVATIONS

Current ribbons Slipping reconnection





 Shear transferred from pre-eruptive field lines via reconnection



Autanier, Janvier & Schmeder (2012) Janvier, Aulanier, Démoulin & Pariat (2013) Dudik, Janvier, Aulanier, del Zanna et al. (2014) Dudik, Polito, Janvier, et al. (2016)

WHERE DOES RECONNECTION TAKE PLACE IN THE SIMULATION?

76⁵⁴³⁴





Kliem et al. (2013)

0.2

WHERE DOES RECONNECTION TAKE PLACE IN THE SIMULATION?







Collapse of the coronal current layer (=thinning) → turns on reconnection (J term in Ohm's law)





SIMILARITIES BETWEEN QSLS, CURRENT RIBBONS & FLARE RIBBONS



\mapsto Similar shape as flare ribbons

J-SHAPE STRUCTURE IS INDICATIVE OF THE PRESENCE OF A FLUX ROPE!

TEST CASE: AR 11158 (X2.2 CLASS FLARE)



TEST CASE: DOES THE CURRENT DENSITY INCREASE?

Photospheric vertical currents = current ribbons

Janvier, Aulanier, Bommier, Schmieder, et al (2014)





Inversion method: UNNOFIT Bommier et al. (2007) \Rightarrow **B**(x,y) \Rightarrow Current maps J_z(x,y) ~ curl IB|_z (12 min cadence w. HMI)

TEST CASE: DOES THE CURRENT DENSITY INCREASE?

Photospheric vertical currents = current ribbons

Janvier, Aulanier, Bommier, Schmieder, et al (2014)



TEST CASE: DOES THE CURRENT DENSITY INCREASE?

Photospheric vertical currents = current ribbons

Janvier, Aulanier, Bommier, Schmieder, et al (2014)





Increase of electric current = collapse of the current layer

See also Janvier et al. 2016 for a more complex event + comparison with magnetic topology (QSLs)

CURRENT DENSITY AND PARTICLES: CAN WE MAKE A LINK?



A FOCUS ON ERUPTIVE FLARES

Observations From observations to models

FLUX ROPE INSTABILITY

Numerical models to address the trigger problem Spectroscopic observations

FROM MODELS TO OBSERVATIONS

Current ribbons Slipping reconnection

THE THEORY OF 3D RECONNECTION PREDICTS "SLIPPING "



Priest & Forbes 1992, Demoulin et al. 1996,1997, Aulanier et al. 2005

HOW FAST IS SLIPPING RECONNECTION?

Creation of new magnetic structures (here, the flux rope):





SO... DOES IT REALLY EXIST?



X-class flare of July 2012

SD0 AIA_4 304 12-Jul-2012 15:50:19.120 UT



-100 -50 0 X (arcsecs)

SLIPPING IN A FLARE

3D Slipping reconnection :

successive change of magnetic connectivity



Janvier, Aulanier, Pariat & Démoulin (2013)

Leads to:

Apparent field line motion

See also: Aulanier et al. (2007)

Kernel motion

See also: Young et al. (2013)

X-class flare of July 2012

Dudik et al (2014)



ERUPTIVE FLARES: SDO observations





July 12 2012, X-class flare



ERUPTIVE FLARES: SDO observations

AIA 131Å 16:05:08 UT

-70-60-50-40-30

July 12 2012, X-class flare

Further evidences + spectroscopic analysis

Now further evidences pointed out + detailed analysis

Moving kernels (footpoints) + plasma upflows (spectroscopy diagnostics)

Dudik, et al (2016)

✤ To explain flickering at the end points of some coronal loops

Testa et al. (2013)

Direct observations: 2007: 1st observation (Hinode) 2013: 2 observations (Hi-C rocket + SDO/AIA) 2014: in 3 separate flaring regions

...

e.g. D. Li et al. (2015), T. Li et al. (2016)

HOW FAST IS SLIPPING RECONNECTION? DETAILED ANALYSIS

SLIPPING RECONNECTION AND ENERGY DEPOSITION

Could change of speed and energy deposition:

-Spatial place: gentle evaporation occurs at some locations vs explosive evaporation

-Sunquakes Matthews et al. (2015)

-Why some kernels appear and other not?

- → Topology: field line mapping dictates neighbouring F.L reconnecting with each other. Energy deposition larger if slipping is slower
- ➔ Role of the current layer physics (turbulence? Plasmoids? Shocks? Waves?)

A FOCUS ON ERUPTIVE FLARES

Observations From observations to models

FLUX ROPE INSTABILITY

Numerical models to address the trigger problem Spectroscopic observations

FROM MODELS TO OBSERVATIONS

Current ribbons Slipping reconnection

A BIGGER PICTURE?

What are the gaps to fill? Future observations

FROM MHD TO PARTICLE MODELS?

Macroscopic dynamics of magnetic fields

flux ropes, field distortion, current layers

instabilities, forcing (e.g. photospheric motions)

Current layer collapse, reconnection, large-scale morphology changes

Transport of Energy

Particles acceleration, Waves

Chromospheric/Photospheric reaction (e.g. White-light flares),

FROM MHD TO PARTICLE MODELS? (ENERGETIC PERSPECTIVES)

Aschwanden et al. 2014-2017 (series of 5 papers treating flare energy, update from Emslie et al. 2012

FROM MHD TO PARTICLE MODELS?

Macroscopic dynamics of magnetic fields

flux ropes, field distortion, current layers

instabilities, forcing (e.g. photospheric motions)

Current layer collapse, reconnection, large-scale morphology changes

How is magnetic energy converted during reconnection? Energetic partition between particles and waves?

Transport of Energy

Particles acceleration, Waves

Chromospheric/Photospheric reaction (e.g. White-light flares),

FROM MHD TO PARTICLE MODELS?

energy, 2/3 of which transferred to ions and 1/3 to electrons. » \rightarrow Also confirmed in MMS mission (see Toledo-Redondo et al. 2017)

FUTURE MISSIONS?

From 2020: SPICE has two EUV wavelength passbands, 70.0 – 79.2 nm and 97.0 – 105.3 nm. From 10,000 to 10 million K: **SPICE will provide a complete temperature coverage from the low chromosphere to the flaring corona.**

FUTURE MISSIONS?

From 2020: SPICE has two EUV wavelength passbands, 70.0 – 79.2 nm and 97.0 – 105.3 nm. From 10,000 to 10 million K: **SPICE will provide a complete temperature coverage from the low chromosphere to the flaring corona.**

MUSE: "IRIS for the corona" with better spatial resolution than AIA and 100x faster than previous spectrographs, 35 slits. Launch in 2022?

Small Explorer based on heritage from IRIS, SDO, Hinode (PI:T.Tarbell)