

Accelerated Lambda Iteration

Motivation

Complete Linearization provides a solution scheme, solving the **radiation transfer**, the **statistical equilibrium** and the **radiative equilibrium** simultaneously.

But, the system is coupled over all **depths** (via RT) and all **frequencies** (via SE, RE) → **HUGE!** ➡

Abbreviations used in this chapter:

RT = Radiation Transfer equations

SE = Statistical Equilibrium equations

RE = Radiative Equilibrium equation

Multi-frequency / multi-gray

Ways around:

Multi-frequency / multi-gray method by **Anderson** (1985,1989)

- Group all frequency points according to their opacity into bins (typically 5) and solve the RT with mean opacities of these bins. → Only **5 RT equations** instead of thousands
- Use a Complete Linearization with the reduced set of equations
- Solve RT alone in between to get all intensities, Eddington-factors, etc.
- Main disadvantage: in principle depth dependent grouping

3

Lambda Iteration

Split RT and SE+RE:

$$J^{new} = \Lambda S^{old}(n, T) \quad \text{RT formal solution}$$

$$\underline{A(J, T)} \underline{n}^{new} = \underline{b} \quad \text{SE}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \kappa(\nu, n, T) (J_{\nu} - S_{\nu}(\nu, n, T)) d\nu = 0 \quad \text{RE}$$

- Good: SE is linear (if a separate T-correction scheme is used)
- Bad: SE contain old values of n, T (in rate matrix A)

Disadvantage: not converging, **this is a Lambda iteration!**

4

Accelerated Lambda Iteration (ALI)

Again: split RT and SE+RE but now use ALI

$$J^{new} = \Lambda S^{old}(n^{old}, T^{old}) + \Lambda^* S^{new}(n^{new}, T^{new}) - \Lambda^* S^{old}(n^{old}, T^{old}) \quad \text{RT}$$

$$\underline{A(J^{new}, T^{new})} \underline{n^{new}} = \underline{b} \quad \text{SE}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \kappa(v, n^{new}, T^{new}) (J_v^{new} - S_v(v, n^{new}, T^{new})) dv = 0 \quad \text{RE}$$

- Good: SE contains new quantities n, T
- Bad: Non-Linear equations \rightarrow linearization (but without RT)

Basic advantage over Lambda Iteration: **ALI converges!**

5

Example: ALI working on Thomson scattering problem

$S = (1 - \beta_e) B + \beta_e J$ source function with scattering, problem: J unknown \rightarrow iterate

$$\Rightarrow J^{new} = (\Lambda - \Lambda^*) S^{old} + \Lambda^* S^{new}$$

$$= (\Lambda - \Lambda^*) S^{old} + \Lambda^* ((1 - \beta_e) B^{new} + \beta_e J^{new}) \quad J^{FS} := \text{formal solution on } S^{old}$$

$$= J^{FS} - \Lambda^* ((1 - \beta_e) B^{old} + \beta_e J^{old} - (1 - \beta_e) B^{new} - \beta_e J^{new}) \quad B^{old} = B^{new}$$

$$= J^{FS} - \Lambda^* (\beta_e J^{old} - \beta_e J^{new}) \quad \text{solve for } J^{new}$$

$$\Rightarrow J^{new} = [1 - \Lambda^* \beta_e]^{-1} (J^{FS} - \Lambda^* \beta_e J^{old}) \quad \text{subtract } J^{old} \text{ on both sides}$$

$$\Rightarrow J^{new} - J^{old} = [1 - \Lambda^* \beta_e]^{-1} (J^{FS} - J^{old})$$

 amplification factor

Interpretation: iteration is driven by difference ($J^{FS} - J^{old}$) but: this difference is amplified, hence, iteration is accelerated.

Example: $\beta_e = 0.99$; at large optical depth Λ^* almost 1 \rightarrow strong amplification $\times 6$

What is a good Λ^* ?

The choice of Λ^* is in principle irrelevant but in practice it decides about the success/failure of the iteration scheme.

First (useful) Λ^* (Werner & Husfeld 1985):

$$\Lambda_v^*(\tau, \tau') S_v(\tau') = \begin{cases} S_v(\tau) & \tau > \gamma \\ 0 & \tau \leq \gamma \end{cases}$$

A few other, more elaborate suggestions until Olson & Kunasz (1987): Best Λ^* is the diagonal of the Λ -matrix (Λ -matrix is the numerical representation of the integral operator Λ)

We therefore need an efficient method to calculate the elements of the Λ -matrix (are essentially functions of τ_v).

Could compute directly elements representing the Λ -integral operator, but too expensive (E_1 functions). Instead: use solution method for transfer equation in differential (not integral) form: **short characteristics method**

7

In the final lecture tomorrow, we will learn two important methods to obtain numerically the formal solution of the radiation transfer equation.

1. Solution of the differential equation as a boundary-value problem (**Feautrier method**). [can include scattering]
2. Solution employing Schwarzschild equation on local scale (**short characteristics method**). [cannot include scattering, must ALI iterate]

The direct numerical evaluation of Schwarzschild equation is much too cpu-time consuming, but in principle possible.

8

Olson-Kunasz Λ^*

Short characteristics with linear approximation of source function

$$I^+(\tau, \mu, \nu) = I^+(\tau_{\max}, \mu, \nu) \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_{\max} - \tau}{\mu}\right) + \int_{\tau}^{\tau_{\max}} S(\tau') \exp\left(-\frac{\tau' - \tau}{\mu}\right) \frac{d\tau'}{\mu}$$

$$I^-(\tau, \mu, \nu) = I^-(0, \mu, \nu) \exp\left(-\frac{\tau}{|\mu|}\right) + \int_0^{\tau} S(\tau') \exp\left(-\frac{\tau - \tau'}{|\mu|}\right) \frac{d\tau'}{|\mu|}$$

$$I^+(\tau_i, \mu, \nu) = I^+(\tau_{i+1}, \mu, \nu) \exp(-\Delta\tau_i) + \Delta I_i^+(S, \mu, \nu)$$

$$I^-(\tau_i, \mu, \nu) = I^-(\tau_{i-1}, \mu, \nu) \exp(-\Delta\tau_{i-1}) + \Delta I_i^-(S, \mu, \nu)$$

$$\text{with } \Delta\tau_{i-1} = \frac{(\tau_i - \tau_{i-1})}{|\mu|}$$

using a linear interpolation for the spatial variation of S

the integrals ΔI_i^{\pm} can be evaluated as

$$\Delta I_i^{\pm} = \alpha_i^{\pm} S_{i-1} + \beta_i^{\pm} S_i + \gamma_i^{\pm} S_{i+1}$$

9

Olson-Kunasz Λ^*

Short characteristics with linear approximation of source function

$$\alpha_i^+ = 0 \qquad \alpha_i^- = -e^{-\Delta} + \frac{1 - e^{-\Delta}}{\Delta}$$

$$\beta_i^+ = 1 + \frac{e^{-\Delta} - 1}{\Delta} \qquad \beta_i^- = 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\Delta}}{\Delta}$$

$$\gamma_i^+ = -e^{-\Delta} - \frac{e^{-\Delta} - 1}{\Delta} \qquad \gamma_i^- = 0$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (I^+ + I^-) d\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\Lambda_{\mu}^+ S + \Lambda_{\mu}^- S) d\mu$$

use $S = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)^T$ for $(0, \dots, i, \dots, 0)$ to project columns of Λ

10

Stellar Atmospheres: Accelerated Lambda Iteration

Inward

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 \vdots \\
 0 \\
 \vdots \\
 \Delta \hat{I}_{i-1}^- \\
 0 \quad \hat{I}_{i-1}^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_{i-1}) + \Delta \hat{I}_i^- \quad 0 \\
 \hat{I}_i^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_i) + \Delta \hat{I}_{i+1}^- \\
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_{k=i+2 \dots ND}^- \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 \vdots \\
 0 \\
 \vdots \\
 \gamma_{i-1}^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_{i-1}) + \beta_i^- \\
 (\gamma_{i-1}^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_{i-1}) + \beta_i^-) \exp(-\Delta \tau_i) + \alpha_{i+1}^- \\
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_{k-1}^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_{k-1}) \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 \vdots \\
 0 \\
 \vdots \\
 0 \quad \beta_i^- \quad 0 \\
 \beta_i^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_i) + \alpha_{i+1}^- \\
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_{k-1}^- \exp(-\Delta \tau_{k-1}) \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} \quad 11$$

Stellar Atmospheres: Accelerated Lambda Iteration

Outward

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_{k=0 \dots i-2}^+ \\
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_i^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_{i-1}) + \Delta \hat{I}_{i-1}^+ \\
 0 \quad \hat{I}_{i+1}^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_i) + \Delta \hat{I}_i^+ \quad 0 \\
 \Delta \hat{I}_{i+1}^+ \\
 \vdots \\
 0 \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_{k+1}^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_{k-1}) \\
 \vdots \\
 (\alpha_{i+1}^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_i) + \beta_i^+) \exp(-\Delta \tau_{i-1}) + \gamma_{i-1}^+ \\
 0 \quad \alpha_{i+1}^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_i) + \beta_i^+ \quad 0 \\
 \alpha_{i+1}^+ \\
 \vdots \\
 0 \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
 \vdots \\
 \hat{I}_{k+1}^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_{k-1}) \\
 \vdots \\
 \beta_i^+ \exp(-\Delta \tau_{i-1}) + \gamma_{i-1}^+ \\
 0 \quad \beta_i^+ \quad 0 \\
 0 \\
 \vdots \\
 0 \\
 \vdots
 \end{pmatrix} \quad 12$$

Λ -Matrix

$$\Lambda_{*,i} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\mu \hat{I}_{k+1}^+ \exp(-\Delta\tau_{k-1}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\mu (\beta_i^+ \exp(-\Delta\tau_{i-1}) + \gamma_{i-1}^+) \\ 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\mu (\beta_i^+ + \beta_i^-) \quad 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\mu (\beta_i^- \exp(-\Delta\tau_i) + \alpha_{i+1}^-) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\mu (\hat{I}_{k-1}^- \exp(-\Delta\tau_{k-1})) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

13

Towards a linear scheme

Λ^* acts on S , which makes the equations non-linear in the occupation numbers

- Idea of Rybicki & Hummer (1992): use $J = \Delta J + \Psi^* \eta^{\text{new}}$ instead
- Modify the rate equations slightly:

$$R_{ij} n_i = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{h\nu} n_i J_\nu dv = 4\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{h\nu} n_i (\Psi^* \eta(n) + \Delta J) dv$$

$$R_{ji} n_j = 4\pi \left(\frac{n_i}{n_j} \right)^* \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{h\nu} n_j \left(J_\nu + \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \right) dv$$

$$= 4\pi \left(\frac{n_i}{n_j} \right)^* \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{h\nu} n_j \left(\Psi^* \eta(n) + \Delta J + \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \right) dv$$

14